UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION



LAR 12 2013

					1 & ZUIS
United States	of America,)	Case No. CR	13-00047-CW	RICHARD VV. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFOR
v.	Plaintiff,)))	STIPULATED	ORDER EXCLUDING THE SPEEDY TRIAL	OUTENIAD
MARSHAY	MUKINZIE)			
	Defendant.)			
Trial Act from continuance of	n <u>MMZ 12</u> , 2013 outweigh the best intere	st of the public	1, 2013 and fine and the defendant	013, the Court excludes and that the ends of just in a speedy trial. See not on the following fa	18 U.S.C. §
	Failure to grant a co See 18 U.S.C. § 316		ıld be likely to re	sult in a miscarriage of	justice.
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).				
	_		•	ndant reasonable time to ee 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(,
		duled case con	nmitments, taking	deny the defendant cong into account the exerc	tinuity of counsel, given ise of due diligence.
		ive preparation	, taking into acco	deny the defendant the unt the exercise of due	
				ered that time is excludent under Federal Rules	
IT IS SO OR DATED: <u>3</u>	DERED. , 2013			ndis A. Westmore states Magistrate Judge	ture
_1		•	\sim		

STIPULATED JEROME MATTHEWS
Attorney for Defendant

Assistant United States Attorney